#### **FIG REGIONAL CONFERENCE 2024 REGIONAL CONFERENCE 2024 Responsive Land Governance and Disaster Resilience: Safeguarding Land Rights**



In proving Cadastral Accuracy for Disaster Management: The Role of Segment Anything Model (SAM) in Digitizing Historical Cadastral Maps

Presented By: Er. Sanjeevan Shrestha Under-Secretary Ministry of Land Management, Co-operatives and Poverty Alleviation

(Co-authors: Er. Tina Baidar, Er. Shangharsha Thapa)









#### Contents

**ORGANISED BY** 

- Introduction to cadastral challenges in Nepal and approaches in automatic digitization
- Study Focus and Objectives
- Materials and Methods
- Results and Analysis
- Conclusion and Recommendations

NICS







#### **Natural Disaster and Impacts on Land Administration**





#### **Cadastral record digitization and its challenges**



Department of Land Information and Archive (DoLIA)

ORGANISED BY



Scanned Analog Cadastral Map 

Image: Display bit with the second second

NICS

PLATINUM SPONSOR

S-Trimble.



#### Automatic extraction of cadastral records





#### Segment Anything Model (SAM)



- Released by Meta Al research zero-shot learning
- Can adapt to new datasets and perform unfamiliar tasks using 'prompting' techniques even with little or nor prior training





![](_page_6_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Objectives**

![](_page_6_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Kathmandu, Nepal 14-16 November **REGIONAL CONFERENCE 2024**

![](_page_7_Picture_1.jpeg)

PLATINUM SPONSOR

Climate Responsive Land Governance and Disaster Resilience: Safeguarding Land Rights

#### **Materials and Methods**

![](_page_7_Figure_4.jpeg)

**ORGANISED BY** 

![](_page_7_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### **Cadastral Data Synthesis**

NICS

![](_page_8_Picture_2.jpeg)

**ORGANISED BY** 

Key five attributes: Shape/Parcel Density Parcel Size and Eccentricity Parcel Boundary Visibility Noise condition Scanning resolution

![](_page_8_Picture_4.jpeg)

CLEAN WATER NO SAMITATION CLEAN WATER NO SAM

![](_page_8_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### **Prompt Configuration**

![](_page_9_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_3.jpeg)

Combination

![](_page_9_Picture_5.jpeg)

Multi-Point

![](_page_9_Figure_7.jpeg)

S-Trimble.

ORGANISED BY FIG

NICS

![](_page_10_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Model Evaluation**

**ORGANISED BY** 

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Visual Inspection** 

![](_page_11_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_5.jpeg)

**Trimble**.

![](_page_11_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Result and Analysis: Parcel Density**

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

Figure : Visualization of prediction of three variations of prompts of zero-shot segmentation of SAM on cadastral parcel extraction task from historical scanned cadastral images (i) based on parcel density (a) equally sized; (b) dense and variety of pixel

Equal Size – High accuracy

Dense and Variety of Parcels – noticeable decline in accuracy

Underestimation mitigated by employing the combination

Proximity of adjacent parcel – boundary confusion and misclassification

Limited resolution – difficulty in capturing finer details

ORGANISED BY

![](_page_12_Picture_11.jpeg)

PLATINUM SPONSOR

**rimble** 

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Result and Analysis: Parcel Size and Eccentricity**

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

Figure : Visualization of prediction of three variations of prompts of zero-shot segmentation of SAM on cadastral parcel extraction task from historical scanned cadastral images (ii) based on combination of parcel size and its eccentricity.

ORGANISED BY

![](_page_13_Picture_6.jpeg)

All sized parcel – accurately extracted – matched well defined geometric shape (eccentricity close to one)

Underestimation – delineating larger parcels with high eccentricity

Segmentation accuracy- highly correlated with their eccentricity – heterogeneity within the parcel

PLATINUM SPONSOR

**rimble** 

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Result and Analysis: Visibility**

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

All prompt produced promising results in delineating parcels, even under varying degrees of boundary clarity or ambiguity

![](_page_14_Figure_5.jpeg)

Figure : Visualization of prediction of three variations of prompts of zero-shot segmentation of SAM on cadastral parcel extraction task from historical scanned cadastral images (i) based on parcel visibility

ORGANISED BY

![](_page_14_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Result and Analysis: Noise**

ORGANISED BY

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

Figure : Visualization of prediction of three variations of prompts of zero-shot segmentation of SAM on cadastral parcel extraction task from historical scanned cadastral images (i) Noise level

**Noise within boundary –** didn't impact performance

Noise adjacent to boundaries – significantly decrease accuracy

Model either failed to delineate parcel or mistakenly merged two adjacent parcels into one.

![](_page_15_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Result and Analysis: DPI**

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

Increasing the scanning resolution – didn't increase accuracy

Delineation capability further decreased with higher scanning accuracy

Reduction in performance – increased heterogeneity in higher resolutions

Figure : Visualization of prediction of three variations of prompts of zero-shot segmentation of SAM on cadastral parcel extraction task from historical scanned cadastral images (i) Different DPI

![](_page_16_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_9.jpeg)

PLATINUM SPONSOR

**Frimble** 

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Conducted comprehensive analysis of the zero shot segmentation capabilities of SAM for cadastral data extraction from scanned historical cadastral maps under various scenarios and complexities
- Combination of base prompts consistently outperforms individual base prompts in the zero shot learning appropriation across all datasets.
- demonstrated the potential to significantly reduce human workload and error with minimal or no supervision

ORGANISED BY

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Challenges/Limitations**

ORGANISED BY

- Faces challenges when handling noisy data near boundaries and areas with complex parcel configurations
- Occurrence of false positives between segmented parcels remains a persistent issue.
- initial experiment was limited to exploring SAM's zero-shot capabilities
- These challenges highlights the need for GIS with SAM, along with human oversight, to ensure the creation of accurate and complete cadastral databases

![](_page_18_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

• On evaluating SAM's one-shot segmentation capabilities as well as SAM-2 model

ORGANISED BY

- potential to integrate with diverse **remote sensing data**, and integrate with cadastral map
- well-suited for Nepal's varied geographic conditions, especially in post-disaster scenarios like earthquakes or floods.
- By incorporating SAM into existing GIS platforms and remote sensing workflows, Nepal's cadastral system can be made more resilient to natural disasters and ongoing land use challenges

![](_page_19_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Any Questions!!!

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_5.jpeg)

NICS

ORGANISED BY